

## **Judonia Rising: The Israel Lobby and American Society**

**What the Israel Lobby Really Is**

**How It Hurts the USA**

**What to Do About It**

A Working Paper

*Part I: The State of the Lobby*

by

Joachim Martillo ([ThorsProvoni@aol.com](mailto:ThorsProvoni@aol.com))

<http://eaazi.blogspot.com>

### *Introduction*

In Fall 2006 Lady Kishwer Baroness Falkner of Magravine, who is a liberal member of the House of Lords lead a study group at the Harvard Kennedy School of Government. It was entitled [Democracy for the Islamic World?, US & British Foreign Policy After Iraq](http://www.iop.harvard.edu/study_groups/06_falkner.pdf) ([http://www.iop.harvard.edu/study\\_groups/06\\_falkner.pdf](http://www.iop.harvard.edu/study_groups/06_falkner.pdf)). Harvard Professor Stephen Walt, who co-wrote *The Israel Lobby and US Foreign Policy* with University of Chicago Professor John Mearsheimer, was the last guest speaker.

Because the sessions were off the record, I cannot report Professor Walt's discussion of US democratization policy, but I did talk with him about the difficulty of understanding the Israel Lobby without a thorough understanding of Eastern European Jewish social and political culture. He told me that he was not going to learn Yiddish at this point in his career. The response is not unreasonable. Realist foreign policy analysis does not delve much into historical backgrounds, and most historiography available about Jews to English-speaking readers is dreadful and verges on hagiography.

Not only had Norman Finkelstein, Joseph Massad, and Noam Chomsky already expressed significant disagreement with the Mearsheimer and Walt analysis as it had appeared in the London *Review of Books* and in a Harvard Kennedy School working paper by this time period, but Philip Weiss had begun discussing the Israel Lobby on his Mondoweiss New York *Observer* blog in a way that simultaneously agreed and disagreed with the two authors because Weiss did not maintain a clear distinction between the Israel Lobby, the organized Jewish community and the New Jewish Elite, which Weiss argues has supplanted the old WASP elite.

Whereas Professor Chomsky criticizes Walt and Mearsheimer because of the lack of clarity in their definition of the Israel Lobby, in a sense Weiss builds on the diffuseness of the Lobby. In any case, a USA, whose dominant elite is Jewish, is not necessarily bending to the Israel Lobby when it pursues of pro-Israel foreign policy.

Mearsheimer, Walt, Finkelstein,<sup>1</sup> Massad, Chomsky and Weiss are all correct. Their disagreements result from problems of framework, language and lack of historical context. Because a complete reworking of the Mearsheimer and Walt thesis would require a text at least twice as long as *The Israel Lobby and US Foreign Policy*, the following paper should only be considered a brief introduction to a rigorous unified analysis based in a non-exceptionalist understanding of Eastern European Jewish history.

### *The Israel Lobby and US Foreign Policy*

---

<sup>1</sup> Finkelstein has pointed out that supporting Israel is justifiable as a matter of foreign policy because Israel provides a stable base from which the USA can project its power, but the Zionist state is not obviously so much more stable than its neighbors, whose major internal threats to stability often in any case relate to the existence of the State of Israel. Since the stability of the oil-producing states is a major interest of the USA, the benefits of the possibly slightly greater stability of Israel as a US base vis-à-vis Jordan or Egypt are negligible if they even really exist.

Professors Mearsheimer and Walt have done a service for American public political discussion by arguing their opinion as foreign policy realists

- that Israel protects no important US interests,
- that it is a foreign policy liability,
- that it arguably never has been a strategic asset despite the claims of Organick in *The 36 Billion Dollar Bargain*, and
- that the disappearance of the Zionist state from the Middle East would at worst harm the USA in no significant way whatsoever.

Professor Chomsky would correctly point out that one could make a good case for the opposite of the above assertions, but debating the above claims misses the main issue. The real problem is the lack of a genuinely open debate in Washington or in the media about the US alliance with Israel.

The two scholars underscore this issue and provide some good comic relief when they claim that there is a strong moral case for supporting Israel even though a good part of the book clarifies just how vacuous a belief in the justice of Zionism and in the morality of Israeli practices really is.

Yet, the book is flawed by acceptance of too many Zionist and Jewish claims at face value.

When the professors write, "There is no question that Jews suffered greatly from the despicable legacy of anti-Semitism and that Israel's creation was an appropriate response to a long record of crimes," they are repeating both ethnic Ashkenazi primordialist essentialism and also the basic creed of the so-called "pogrom and persecution" version of Jewish history. Neither assumption holds up under scrutiny.

The second century Roman Historian Dio Cassius wrote "all who observe Judaic law may be called Judeans, despite the ethnic group from which they originate."

The vast majority of Roman Imperial Jews (more properly Judeans) had no ancestral connection to the populations that lived in the Hasmonean or Herodian Kingdoms of Judea. Modern ethnic Ashkenazim (Jews of Eastern European Yiddish-speaking origins) have less connection and no obviously legitimate claim on Palestine whatsoever. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/04/how-to-talk-about-zionism-new-improved.html>, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2005/08/for-tony-blair-real-extremism.html>.)

Paul Kriwaczek writes the following in *Yiddish Civilization, The Rise and Fall of a Forgotten Nation*, pp 5-6.

We have forgotten that Yiddish-speaking Jews were no mere religious or linguistic minority but formed one of Europe's nations, ultimately more populous than many others — eventually to outnumber Bosnians, Croats, Danes, Estonians, Latvians, Slovaks, Slovenians and Swiss, not to mention the Irish, the Scots and the Welsh. What is more, their contribution to central and eastern Europe's economic, social and intellectual development was utterly disproportionate to their numbers. The Yiddish people must be counted among the founder nations of Europe. (Please take note Ireland, Spain, Italy and Poland, who have pressed for "the Christian roots of the continent" to be proclaimed in the constitution of the European Union.)

In the Polish Commonwealth ethnic Ashkenazim constituted an economic elite. They lost this status in the partitions of Poland.

Yet, despite supposedly onerously Czarist oppression, Russian Jews had higher incomes, more education, and longer life spans than the non-Jewish populations among whom they lived. They

were highly disaffected because of exclusion from the status and access to which they believed they were entitled, but they were not obviously more oppressed than the majority of the Czar's subjects and less oppressed than others.

Yuri Slezkine belies the myth of Jewish powerlessness during the lead-up to WW2 in *The Jewish Century*. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/02/pattern-of-ethnic-ashkenazi.html>.)

Mearsheimer and Walt's casual misconceptions about Jewish history accompany a similar lack of interest in the sociology of the Jewish community.

Even though *How Jews Became White Folks & What that Says About Race in America* by Karen Brodtkin asserts on p. 147 that

Italian culture is not prefiguratively white, in the way Jewish culture — which Glazer described as like Anglo-Saxon Protestant culture in valuing individuality and ambition — is,

historically Eastern European Jewish culture strongly controlled social and intellectual deviation.

Many of the social control mechanisms continue to exist, have evolved in the American environment, and may help explain why liberal Jews have so empowered the Neocons to the detriment of US foreign policy interests as James Petras has described. (See <http://www.philipweiss.org/mondoweiss/2007/12/james-petras-ha.html>.)

The Professors do not even ask whether stated reasons for supporting Israel are the real or the same reasons for all Israel advocates throughout the "Israel Lobby." Do the leaders and followers even share the same overall goals?

In contrast, when Columbia Professor Michael Stanislawski investigates the 1848 killing of Reform Rabbi Abraham Kohn in Lemberg in his book entitled *A Murder in Lemberg*, he asks whether the crime resulted from religious conflict or from the threat that Kohn represented to the incomes of members of the wealthy Jewish elite.

The same question applies today. Does the so-called "Israel Lobby" merely act to secure the interests of the State of Israel or is the real goal enhancement of the wealth, status, and power of those who pay for it? After all Saudi Arabia pays its professional lobbyists, who consequently serve the Saudi state.

**Not only does Israel not pay the "Israel Lobby," but *The Israel Lobby and US Foreign Policy* does not give a hint who really does,** and in any case large sections of the "Israel Lobby" like the Hollywood Crowd do not even appear in the book even though scholars like Melani McAlister have investigated the Hollywood foreign policy connection in books like *Epic Encounters: Culture, Media, and U.S. Interests in the Middle East, 1945-2000*. With such gaps in the analysis of the "Israel Lobby," no one should be surprised with the weakness of the proposals that Professors Mearsheimer and Walt make for responding to the "Israel Lobby."

*Not Just Another Lobby*

Their book assumes that "the Israel Lobby" is simply another lobby except for greater effectiveness.

Tony Karon, who is the senior editor of Time.com, recently commented on Philip Weiss's blog

"that a lot of the general AIPAC lines have been internalized in the U.S. political mainstream. And in the process, AIPAC has established itself as synonymous not just

with Israel, but with philo-Semitism. What you see at that annual AIPAC conference where Democrats and Republicans line up to kiss ass is a graphic demonstration of the fact that AIPAC no longer even needs to go after the politicians, they come to AIPAC cap in hand."

Thus the primary Israel advocacy organization has effectively become an American political institution that Americans accept without reservation for fear of the accusation of anti-Semitism.

*But Purposeful Misdirection with Saya`nim (Sleeper or Helper Agents)*

As Walt and Mearsheimer point out, the achievement of Israel advocacy is impressive by any standard. The success results from collaboration of an extremely diverse web of organizations and individuals that specialize in different aspects of the lobbying project and that work together on an "as needed" basis.

A member of the web can be classified in one dimension by message transparency and in another dimension by individual or organizational transparency.

Karon's comment above indicates that AIPAC has no need for a lot of opaqueness, but in many situations concealment of aspects of the Israel Advocate may increase effectiveness of a message. John Hagee's Christians United for Israel, albeit secretly funded and run by Jews, is almost excessively public about its lobbying efforts because it probably exists to prove that Israel advocacy is not a Jews-only production. (Jewish-dominated film and music industries routinely create stars. It apparently costs a good deal less money and effort to put someone on the A-list of Christian Israel lobbying.)

Following the lead of AIPAC some think tanks like JINSA (Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs) and WINEP (Washington Institute for Near East Policy) make no effort to conceal their Israel focus, but others like the Foundation for the Defense of Democracy try to conceal Neocon Zionist agendas behind a message of patriotism and anti-terrorism often combined with scare-mongering and anti-Arab or anti-Muslim incitement.

HonestReporting is a media watch dog like CAMERA but obscures its organizational structure and especially its connection to Aish Hatorah, which encourages Jews to become observant and then enmeshes such Jewish returnees in an occult mystical nationalist Zionist agenda.

The David Project pretends to be a Jewish communal organization that teaches Jewish educators how to talk about Israel while StandWithUs claims to raise the Israel consciousness of students on campus, but both act as attack dogs that apparently raise money in proportion to the level of their nastiness.

The David Project orchestrated an attack on the Roxbury Mosque as part of an ongoing program of "diminishing the impact of Israel's detractors" by normalizing Islamophobia so that American Muslim criticism of Israel will be dismissed out of hand. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2006/01/battle-waged-in-boston-over-new-mosque.html>.)

StandWithUs makes a special effort to counteract Jewish critics of Israel in the university environment. The organization was so upset with *Overcoming Zionism* by Joel Kovel that it has been trying to deny distribution to all books from Pluto Press that reach the American Public via the University of Michigan Press. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/10/zionist-attack-on-pluto-press.html>, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/04/boston-globes-problem-with-muslims.html>.)

*Academia and Israel Lobbying*

Both the David Project and StandWithUs work fairly closely with Israeli consulates in the context of the Israel on Campus Coalition. Rashid Khalidi discusses the nature of Israeli academia on p. 75 of *The Iron Cage*.

Perhaps the most eminent of the four, Reuven Zaslani, eventually became the founder of Israel's external espionage agency, the Mossad. Zaslani later changed his name to Shiloach, and the Middle East Center at Tel Aviv University (now the Moshe Dayan Center) was named for him for a time. The two names that this center has borne illustrate the intimate (and thoroughly unselfconscious) relationship within Israel institutions between academic study of the region on the one hand, and both intelligence work and warfare directed against the Arabs on the other.

Zionist groups would like to use America academia in the same way, and the Israeli mission to the US plays a large role in the battle for the control of academic discourse about the Middle East.

Some high profile academic Israel individual advocates like Alan Dershowitz are fairly transparent in message and affiliation, others like Elie Wiesel, who tries to act as high priest of Holocaust religion, work through other causes. Ruth Wisse, whose husband is Chairman of the Board of Directors of CAMERA uses her academic podium to street-fight for Zionism. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/09/jews-and-power-versus-israel-lobby-and.html>, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/10/poisoning-atmosphere-at-harvard.html>.)

Lately Ruth Wisse has been faculty advisor to *New Society: The Harvard College Student Middle East Journal*. Here is the description (<http://www.college.harvard.edu/student/organizations/orgdetail?id=742>).

*New Society* will be a forward-looking biannual journal of scholarship and opinion on the history, politics and culture of the Middle East. It will encourage students and faculty members to debate positive visions for the future of the region. Drawing its ideas from a wide range of contributors, the journal will feature a combination of long, scholarly essays, shorter op-ed style articles, reviews of books, movies and concerts, photographs and artwork, write-ups of events on campus, and interviews. It will publish students, faculty members, and associated scholars based on the quality of their scholarship and writing, but the journal will focus on nurturing and promoting undergraduate writers and editors in particular.

The journal's content is predictably Zionistically correct. (See <http://newsocietyjournal.com/>.)

#### *Mixing Israel Lobbying with Other Activities*

Like Wisse, Steven Spielberg has Jewish interests beyond Israel, but the ending of *Schindler's List* was simply Zionist propaganda that implicitly justified the theft of Palestine from the native population. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/10/married-to-another-man-married-to.html>.)

Like Wisse and Spielberg, the Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations is not exclusively focused on Israel advocacy, but today its most public role consists of representing the concerns of the organized Jewish community to the President of the United States.

Like the Conference practically every other group within the organized Jewish community provides some degree of overt Israel advocacy no matter how small a role Israel plays in the organization's official mission statement. Examples run from

- running million dollar campaigns against Somerville Divestment from Israel as the Boston Jewish Community Relations Council did to

- providing Jewish self-indoctrination seminars and lectures at local synagogues.

Below is a typical instance in the Boston area.

**Monday, October 15, 2007**

**7:15 PM Town Hall Meeting with Former Israeli Ambassador Itamar Rabinovich**

Itamar Rabinovich was the former Ambassador of Israel to the U.S., past President of Tel Aviv University, and Camp David Syrian Negotiator, and currently is a lecturer at Harvard. After the briefing, you will be given the opportunity to share your thoughts and concerns about Israel in an open community discussion. A dessert reception will follow (dietary laws observed). At Temple Ohabei Shalom in Brookline. RSVP required. To register or for more information, please call or email.

1187 Beacon Street Brookline MA  
617-457-8650  
[israelevents@jcrcboston.org](mailto:israelevents@jcrcboston.org)

There is a whole class of well-defined entities

- that are outside the organized Jewish community,
- that are theoretically non-Zionist and
- that covertly spread Zionist propaganda.

Martin Peretz appears to have used his position as a director of YIVO to transform that institution into an overt Israel advocacy organization. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/02/making-yivo-zionist-organization.html>.)

The United States Holocaust Memorial Museum and the Boston Public school system through Zionized history textbooks ([http://members.aol.com/thorsprovoni/history/naaplecture.htm#Figure\\_4](http://members.aol.com/thorsprovoni/history/naaplecture.htm#Figure_4)) put our various sorts of subliminal Zionist messages.

Many media organizations like those owned by Rupert Murdoch mix genuine news reporting with overt and indirect Zionist propaganda.

MEMRI has been particularly successful in masking its true nature as an Israel advocacy organization associated with Israeli intelligence services. Because far too many trust its selective and misleading translations, MEMRI is one of most effective Israel advocates. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/05/what-sanabel-said.html>.)

The movie *Exodus* was overt Zionist propaganda but unlike *Obsession, Radical Islam's War against the West*, was not produced by an officially Zionist organization even if the Israeli government directly or indirectly provided some of the investment. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/10/zionist-film-exodus-terrorism-is-good.html>.)

Former Harvard President Lawrence Summers took a covert Israel advocacy position and effectively put himself out of a job when he accused the Harvard Divestment movement of anti-Semitism in effect if not in intent. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/07/fighting-hegemonic-blocking-on-campus.html>.)

*Israel Advocates as Thought Police*

Then there are all sorts of groups and individuals that covertly and informally act as Israel advocates. Jewish cliques within Interfaith discussion groups<sup>2</sup> often engage in subtle Israel advocacy or enmeshment while the often-transnational Jewish networks in academia, across various professions and in the finance industry often serve Zionist purposes of exclusion of Arabs, Muslims and anti-Zionists or of control of discourse. The David Project, which works with informal networks of Jewish doctors, has inserted Zionist propaganda into the Harvard Medical School through its subsidiary X-Ray Project.<sup>3</sup>

The members of the Jewish finance networks trade insider information and protect each other. Membership generally requires politically correct attitudes towards Israel. Expressions of sympathy toward Palestinians can end careers — at least until Gulf and Saudi Arabs buy enough of the NY investment banks, but the networks might manage to persist with greater secrecy.

As the work of researchers like Alison Weir (<http://www.ifamericansknew.org>) and Laurel Leff (*Buried by the Times, The Holocaust and America's Most Important Newspaper*) clearly indicates, the media industry is full of all sorts of facilitators and gatekeepers, who have a clear effect on the coverage of the conflict over Palestine even in cases where the media owners are themselves unbiased.

Even though Spielberg himself is an Israel advocate, he strayed from Zionist political correctness in *Munich* and was subjected to a concerted media attack. The Hollywood Crowd got the message, and the marketing of *Munich* ran into all sorts of “unforeseen” problems. As a result, the movie probably only made one third of reasonable expectations in gross ticket receipts, and it will probably be a long time before Spielberg deviates from the Zionist narrative to give Palestinians another 20 seconds of sympathy.

Beyond the facilitators and gatekeepers there are thousands to tens of thousands — often students or retirees — who will make faxes, letters, calls, emails or come to gatherings on behalf of organizations connected with Israel advocacy.

#### *Israel Advocacy, the US Government, and the Occupation of Iraq*

The Neocon Movement, which is the current incarnation of the American Revisionist or Jabotinskian movement, is not an Israel advocacy group or organization, but its members distribute themselves either

- throughout the matrix of organizations that take part in lobbying the US government on behalf of Israel or

---

<sup>2</sup> Jewish cliques in Interfaith Action groups that undertake genuine charity work are less likely to misuse the forum, but the organized Jewish community has used international interfaith action in the Sudan as a vehicle to control genocide discourse and to defame Arabs or Muslims. Mohammad Abu-Nimer has studied dialogue and conflict resolution between Arabs and Jews in the Israeli context. (See *Dialogue, Conflict Resolution, and Change: Arab-Jewish Encounters in Israel* by Mohammad Abu-Nimer). As in American dialogues between Christians and Jews or between Muslims or Arabs and Jews there rarely is a meeting of minds, and Jewish participants tend to attempt to establish personal relations to impede any serious discussion or action on genuine injustices. From the standpoint of non-Jewish participants such interactions rarely seem to produce much in the way of change in the Jewish participants. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/01/followup-natalie-portmans-genocidal.html>.)

<sup>3</sup> Lots of Jewish doctors in the Boston area are important participants in Zionist activities or contributors therein. HMS instructor Dr. Kenneth Levin, to whose extra-curricular activities former President Summers did not object, often works with the David Project and helps facilitate Zionist projects in the Harvard Medical School area. (See <http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/Read.aspx?GUID=%7BF66256B6-688A-45AE-9252-CF9758750035%7D>.)

- throughout the government itself, where according to J. Brady Kiesling (<http://www.bradykiesling.com/>) they so embody the Zionist or Israeli viewpoint that they act as if infected by Israel clientitis.

Friedmanites have been so prominent in the economic regime that the occupation has attempted to impose on Iraq that the common identification of Milton Friedman's economic thinking merely with Cato Institute libertarianism looks incorrect. In today's political intellectual environment Friedmanism looks more like an adjunct of Neoconservatism.

### *Israel Lobbying in Comparison with Ordinary Lobbies*

The complex composite structure of Israel advocacy is unusual among lobbying efforts but not unknown.

Environmental and other public interest group advocacy, unlike corporate and foreign government lobbying, tends to divide tasks among a set of organizations that each have their own sources of funding.

For a given lobbying project, lobbies with a complex organizational structure generally assign a temporary coordination center. The Jewish Federation has a long history of coordinating resources within the Jewish community and seems to provide a permanent coordination center for Israel lobbying from the national level of opposing an AWACS sale down to pressuring a Barnes and Noble store for hosting a Palestinian author like Susan Abulhawa. (See [http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/05/lobby-activities-its-just-frggin-novel\\_28.html](http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/05/lobby-activities-its-just-frggin-novel_28.html).)

The Jewish Federation also works through its educational, media updating, propaganda and indoctrination efforts to make sure that a large number of Jewish and a lesser number non-Jewish volunteers are available to act as foot soldiers (*saya`nim* and non-Jewish volunteers) in Israel advocacy. Such foot soldiers like the enlisted men in a regular army rarely understand the big picture or have insight into the strategies of the leadership (as Israel Shamir has pointed out).

Israel advocacy is probably unique in the degree that the unofficial lobbying organizations have their own day-to-day programs that have little or no direct connection to the State of Israel even if on occasion the quotidian activities can serve in pro-Israel efforts. Such projects probably constitute an aspect of the diffuseness to which Chomsky has referred.

In Boston the Jewish Alliance for Law and Social Justice (JALSA), which is a spin-off of the American Jewish Congress, has an ongoing project in regendering American society according to feminist and gay liberation principles.

An Israel Lobbying effort coordinated by the JCRC might use concepts from the JALSA regendering activity, to wit, the argument that Americans should have no sympathy for Palestinians, Arabs or Muslims because they tend to be anti-feminist and anti-gay. In any case, the distinction between ongoing local projects and Israel advocacy is not always distinct even in the case of AIPAC, which was established — as Professor Kovel recently pointed out to me — shortly after the Rosenberg espionage case became public.

AIPAC had to make sure

- that Americans distinguished bad Jewish communists from good Jewish Zionists and
- that Congress did not take an interest in the Israel's nuclear ambitions, which according to Seymour Hersh wealthy American Jews were funding.

Because Jewish Federation organizations have been coordinating projects for a long time Israel Lobbying efforts benefit from organizational memory that does not exist in other lobbying

situations. The longevity of Jewish Federation organizations can give Israel Lobbying efforts a generational aspect not seen elsewhere. For example, Israel Advocacy organizations worked for fifty years to delegitimize Arabist points of view in the foreign service and state department, drive out Arabist officers or bureaucrats and replace them with Israel sympathetic personnel (see [The Marginalization of U.S. Mideast Experts, http://www.washington-report.org/backissues/1095/9510080.htm](http://www.washington-report.org/backissues/1095/9510080.htm)).

Possibly because of long-standing relationships with government officials, because of the fear of the accusations of anti-Semitism, or simply because Jews are disproportionately represented among government officials especially in the legal and regulation enforcement divisions (another class of *saya`nim*), Israel advocacy is unique among lobbying efforts in that participating groups and individuals for the most part get a pass on tax code, constitutional, and criminal — especially SEC — issues as happened recently when the existence of an AJC memorandum was revealed that questioned the congruence of Obama's thinking with Jewish interests or goals (see [Internal Memo Takes On Obama's Mideast Approach - Forward.com, http://www.forward.com/articles/12543/](http://www.forward.com/articles/12543/)). The IRS would have immediately announced an investigation to determine whether any other 501(c)(3) organization in a similar situation was violating the rules with regard to its tax deductible status.

**In other words, not only are Americans subjected to often very well camouflaged pro-Israel indoctrination in practically any situation often from completely unexpected sources, but Israel advocates also do not even bother to obey those few rules that the government has established for such activities.**

#### *Not the Ordinary Conspiracy*

The activities associated with Israel advocacy have the appearance of vast conspiracies especially when a financier like Marc Rich uses all sorts of strings of influence within the Jewish community to obtain an eleventh hour pardon from Clinton or when Jewish academics, Jewish alumni and Israel advocacy organizations mobilize in an attempt to prevent a Palestinian-origin academic like Nadia Abu el-Haj, who has an interest in Jewish studies, from obtaining tenure. The signers of the [Deny Nadia Abu El-Haj Tenure Petition \(http://www.petitiononline.com/barnard/\)](http://www.petitiononline.com/barnard/) are breath taking in their almost uniform indication of German Jewish and ethnic Ashkenazi origin.

Nevertheless as much as conspiracy theorists find evidence of freemasonry, the elders of Zion, the illuminati, dark Talmudists, malicious Cabbalists, or some other group of secret puppeteers, Israel lobbying activities constitute a natural evolution of Jewish, especially ethnic Ashkenazi, communal organizations.

The structure of Israel lobbying is a response to historical developments generally unrelated to Zionism.

Because there has been a sort of Darwinian selection in both the official and unofficial organizations as well as in their techniques, all of which go back often as much two centuries in European environments, Israel lobbying is naturally far more effective than a lobby that was put together over the last few decades or so in response to a specific issue or to serve a specific corporate interest.

#### *Struggle for American and the World*

Israel lobbying has for the most part totally manipulated the US government into subordinating US interests to Israeli interests to the detriment or harm of the nation, but Mearsheimer and Walt fail to describe the full magnitude of the problem.

Bat-Ami Zucker points out in *Ha-Mesoret Ha-Mishpatit ve-Ha-Zionit shel Louis D. Brandeis [The Legal and Zionist Tradition of Louis D. Brandeis, in Hebrew]* that Brandeis aimed to demonstrate that Zionists were complete and better Americans. In other words Zionism at least for Brandeis and people like him was part of the German Jewish Americanization project (and provided an alternative to Jewish radicalism or communism here in the USA).

Eighty years American Jews have moved beyond mere assimilation. The New Jewish Elite that supplanted the older New York German Jewish elite has been assimilating US society and culture to Jewish standards for the last generation,<sup>4</sup> and Jewish groups are now at the centers of American power.

---

<sup>4</sup> Just consider the sequence of films, *Goodbye, Columbus*, Elaine May's original version of *The Heartbreak Kid*, *Desperately Seeking Susan*, The Farrelly Brothers' remake of *The Heartbreak Kid*, and *Waitress*.

The book *Goodbye, Columbus* was a rather acerbic investigation of Jewish assimilation and cultural norms in sexual relationships. The movie toned down the Jewishness (*Yiddishkeit*) of the story (part of the assimilation project), but hints of Jewish difference and discomfort were still there. [Nowadays Rabbi Cooper of the Wiesenthal Center certifies movies for Jewish acceptability, but in the past some sort of informal consensus mechanism was probably operative.]

*The Heartbreak Kid* (EM) pokes some fun at stereotypes as it depicts a Jewish invasion of the gentile elite.

In *Desperately Seeking Susan* the Jewish suburbanites, who are fairly casually but blandly promiscuous, are clearly wealthier than the gentile Susan and her friends, who express the *goyish* animalistic passion for which the Jewish Roberta longs.

Today, Gentile-Jewish tensions are passé. Even though Eddy Kantrow, who is the male protagonist of *The Heartbreak Kid* (FB) is still Jewish albeit less obviously than Lenny Kantrow of the EM version, the Farrelly Brothers and Spielberg wrote out the Jewish-Gentile conflict theme. Eddy is no longer a young shlep selling sporting goods but is the established 40-something proprietor of a sporting goods store.

The story, which now begins in San Francisco instead of New York, is on the whole gayer and more misogynistic than the original. One could sympathize much more with the younger Lenny Kantrow even when he is lying at a mile a minute because he is a fool for love. In the same situation, Eddy Kantrow seems at best a shmuck and more often simply predatory.

Miranda, who replaces Kelly Corcoran of the original, and her family are offended that Eddy tried to pick up Miranda during his honeymoon, but eventually Miranda dumps her husband to seek out Eddy, who responds and apparently intends to dump his second wife. It is a fairly nasty reprise of the sort of serial polygamy that characterized Eastern European ethnic Ashkenazi culture with none of the mitigating circumstances associated with early marriage and a high level of family pressure.

In Adrienne Shelly's *Waitress*, which is exceptionally hostile to men, there are no Jewish characters, but the Southern gentiles now have the New York Jewish morality associated with *Sex and the City*, and after an affair that gives her self-confidence, the heroine dumps her husband to start her own business. The movie represented the final assimilation of American non-Jews to Jewish norms, and it received rave reviews.

It was interesting that the movie had the triple Goddess subtheme that was present in the movie *Enemies, A Love Story*, which was adapted from *Sonim, A Geshikht fun a Lieb* by Isaac Bashevis Singer. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/01/connecting-hanukkah-christmas-and-idu-l.html>.)

Mort Zuckerman recently made an important observation in a [JTA news report](http://www.jta.org/cgi-bin/iowa/breaking/106775.html) (<http://www.jta.org/cgi-bin/iowa/breaking/106775.html>).

## Zuckerman: Israel a 'client state' of U.S.

Published: 02/03/2008

Mort Zuckerman, the editor of U.S. News & World Report, called Israel a "client state" of the United States.

"Israel has to understand — like it or not — it is not a great power. It is a client state. And therefore, it must be dependent upon a great power," Zuckerman said during an interview on Shalom TV's "World Jewish News" show last week. "There is no question that the United States has been and is the most reliable long-term friend and ally that Israel has, and it must do what it has to do in order to maintain that relationship. And if [cooperating with Bush's Annapolis peace initiative] is part of that price, Israel has to do it for the United States."

Zuckerman, a recent past chairman of the Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations, said he is pessimistic about the chances for peace between Israel and the Palestinians but that Israel must make the effort because "it is the only way to maintain whatever political support it has, especially in the United States — but really, in the Western world."

He compared Israel and her quest for peace to Sisyphus, the figure in Greek mythology who repeatedly rolled a huge rock up a hill only to have it roll from his grasp near the summit.

Zuckerman says U.S. policy toward Israel likely will change when a new president takes office next year.

"It never does stay the same — and it is often a surprise," he said. "But it is my judgment that there are a whole variety of views of Israel."

If Israel is a client state and the New Jewish elite is dominating American culture and politics, American Zionists or more correctly those American Zionists manipulating or dominating US ME policy call the shots in Israel and not local Israeli politicians.

There is another critical aspect to the flow of power. A segment of the American Jewish community seems to increase its status, wealth and power through its Israel connection, which at the very least gives this small but important well-connected group of American Jews a place on the gravy train of unaccounted cash aid to Israel.

These American Jews then use their increasing wealth to make sure that national politicians, who support continuing and increasing aid to Israel, are well funded during election campaigns. By careful working the Israel lobby and media connections, this group of Zionists keeps American politicians in a state of dependency on Jewish contributions and in a state of fear of campaign swift-boating.

---

Probably accidentally, *Waitress* is a sort of inversion of Judah Leon Gordon's poem *Kozo shel yod*, which is loosely translated as "The Dot on the 'I.'" This poetic satire tells the story of a Jewish woman and her inadequate husband. Her problem results from the strictures of Rabbinical law (Halakhah), which can be quite barbaric in some marital situations.

In effect American national politicians with the exception of Ron Paul are clients of powerful Jewish Zionist elite. In other words, the State of Israel may be a client state of America, but America is a client state of the American Jewish Zionist elite, which is probably in the process of establishing itself as the permanent national "meritocratic" elite.

[Is it surprising that a vocal Zionist like Kondracke and *Commentary*, which until recently was the flagship national publication of the American Jewish Committee have systematically attacked affirmative action?]

While the American public has not fully comprehended the nature of power relations, Israeli leaders do, and the American Zionist elite calls all shots on issues of importance to the American elite from "Who is a Jew" to whether the USA should attack Iraq or Iran first.

The political relational hierarchy and the domination of an American Zionist elite over all validate the Finkelstein and Massad critiques of *The Israel Lobby and US Foreign Policy*.

The alliance between Israel and the US states is in the process of creating an internal American caste system that will be dominated by a small class of hyper-wealthy Zionists. With the sort of permanent war that has been envisioned since the beginning of the twentieth century by a segment of the Zionist elite under the influence of Sorel and with the application of the Friedmanite Shock Doctrine as described by Naomi Klein, this caste system will spread globally. (See *The Shock Doctrine, The Rise of Disaster Capitalism*, by Naomi Klein.)

The Israeli economy or more properly a small segment of hyper-wealthy Israeli Jews linked by family and business relations to the dominant US Zionist elite is thriving as the US economy is tanking and Iraq is being ground down. It is the outcome of which leading Zionists dreamed in the twenties, and it has a high probability of spreading from the ME throughout the Muslim world to South America, and to parts of the former Eastern block.

The Kremlin, which has never had much tolerance for internal competing power centers or external powers mucking in its backyard, saw danger in the extension of a transnational hyper-wealthy Zionist political elite to Russia and has taken preventive action against Jewish oligarchs within the territories of the former Soviet Union. It was stunning how quickly Jewish and Friedmanite groups rushed to support Mikhail Khodorkovski in his power struggle with the Russian government.

Among religious, leftist, and right wing ethnic Ashkenazim there has long been a current of thought that Jews are safest in alliance (or better in dominating) an undemocratic government.<sup>5</sup> Thanks to the Patriot act and other actions of the Bush administration, the undemocratic Zionist future is coming into being right before our eyes, and McCain looks like the most likely of current present candidates to bring about the fulfillment of the ultimate globalized Zionist dystopia, but Zionists throughout the political system are poised to influence any other possible victor except Ron Paul, whom Zionist facilitators and gate-keepers in the US media are trying to starve of media attention.

Abolishing Israel and eradicating Zionism would not eliminate the exploitive tendencies of globalization but would destroy the focal point of the forces that are aiming for the worst of all globalized futures.

*Economics is Key*

---

<sup>5</sup> I grew up with the story that the first Chabad Rebbe Shneur Zalman of Liadi chose to support the Russian Czar over Napoleon, who would have brought ideas from the Enlightenment to the Czarist Empire.

Even though Professors Mearsheimer and Walt barely discussed economics and cash flow issues in their book, no other aspect of Zionist movement in the US is more important in understanding how it wins politically or how it can lose.

Today, individual contributions is far less important to day to day Israel lobbying and advocacy, which often piggyback on funded, endowed, or profitable groups generally within or associated with the organized Jewish community. As has already mentioned, Martin Peretz, as a director of the [YIVO Institute for Jewish Research](http://www.yivo.org/) (<http://www.yivo.org/>) has managed to transform this non-Zionist institution into an organ of Israel advocacy and Zionist defense. The latest YIVO bulletin includes a full-page denunciation *The Israel Lobby and US Foreign Policy*. (See [Making YIVO a Zionist Organization](http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/02/making-yivo-zionist-organization.html), <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/02/making-yivo-zionist-organization.html>.) Peretz has managed to leverage a rather small contribution to YIVO to get the benefits of both YIVO resources and prestige.

Nowadays, many official Zionist institutions themselves have endowments while Israel Advocacy includes its own independent profit centers in film-making and conference production, which is an industry in which Sheldon Adelson, who is an important Zionist donor has made billions of dollars. The big Zionist donors tend to start and fund new projects. These donors often do their own R&D or marketing analysis. Many such projects are openly publicized like [The Big Ideas Series: Introducing 10 Proposals for Jewish Communal Innovation](http://thenewjew.wordpress.com/2007/12/11/the-big-ideas-series-introducing-the-first-three-proposals-for-jewish-communal-innovation/) « [The New Jew: Blogging Jewish Philanthropy](http://thenewjew.wordpress.com/2007/12/11/the-big-ideas-series-introducing-the-first-three-proposals-for-jewish-communal-innovation/) (<http://thenewjew.wordpress.com/2007/12/11/the-big-ideas-series-introducing-the-first-three-proposals-for-jewish-communal-innovation/>) from Charles Bronfman. The New Jewish Elite has no need for secrecy in its plans to manipulate the American mainstream.

Zionist institutions and corporations often receive direct funding from the United States government. The United States Holocaust Memorial Museum is an example. It serves a major role in justifying the theft of Palestine from the native population and has recently become a major part of the Zionist and Neocon Darfur project (<http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/04/open-letter-to-michael-capuano.html>, <http://members.aol.com/ThorsProvoni/Darfur/FifthQuestion.htm>), which distracts from Zionist crimes in Palestine and from the Neocon-inspired disaster in Iraq and which is part of the ongoing Zionist project to incinerate Arab and Muslim countries in order to make the Middle East safe for Israel. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/12/killing-muslims-under-humanitarian.html>.)

Zionist scare-mongering has also landed lucrative government and private contracts for Zionist terror-study groups like the Investigative Project and the SITE Institute while government grants to increase security seem to go disproportionately to Jewish groups.

While Jewish organizations may be somewhat more effective at grant writing, government approving officials are often Jewish officials, who are constantly receiving literature and indoctrination that comes from the organized Jewish community and that identifies numerous individuals and groups threatening Jews.

The Aliyah Scam, which has often been funded by the US government in many ways, has brought a tremendous amount of money into the Jewish community, and a good portion of such money is then put into Israel advocacy. (See [Scamming Americans Robbing Palestinians](http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/07/scamming-americans-robbing-palestinians.html), <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/07/scamming-americans-robbing-palestinians.html>.)

Israel itself is a profit center for Israel advocacy, which receives a tremendous amount of funding from real-estate dealing and from the tourist industry. Conquering the Occupied Territories in the 1967 war proved a boon to tourism because of the important holy sites that they contain and whose control the Israeli government would never concede to any sort of Palestinian authority no matter how servile.

Not only does American aid to Israel come back to the US via a web of family and business connections, but US aid also goes to subsidize Israeli corporations, which compete with American

firms and even create American subsidiaries or even relocate to the USA. The portion of US aid that must be spent in the USA can then be spent in corporations that are for all intents and purposes Israeli and whose personnel are fully aware that they must contribute to political candidates that will support increasing funding of Israel.

The State of Israel has consequentially become involved in startup funding and in picking winners among new American corporations through American and Israeli venture capital funds that are directly or indirectly connected to the Israeli government.

This activity is not new for the Israeli government. The Israeli government has been involved assuring the success of the right people in the American entertainment and news industry since the 1950s. Golan Globus is a prominent example, and Haim Saban is at least in part an Israeli government creation.

The Zionist movement assumed from its earliest phases that it would be dependent on wealthy and influential Jews in the Diaspora. Members of the Councinhood of Britain's wealthiest Jews probably paid for the Balfour Declaration. After the propaganda reverses of the first Intifada, the need for an even wealthier and more influential class of Jewish Zionists in the USA became obvious.

[In this strategic framework, the Zionist movement also depends on Jews, who are not necessarily wealthy but are in critical positions to serve the Zionist movement especially in intelligence or logistics matters. Such individuals are today called sayanim. The spy Jonathan Pollard is a particularly egregious sayan, who was caught. [I have expanded the meaning of the term in this document to include Jewish stealth helpers in Israel Lobbying efforts.]

In addition to sayanim, Zionists have often used Shabbesgoyim like Orde Wingate during the pre-State period or more recently John McCain III and his father Admiral John McCain, Jr., who absolved Israel of blame in the attack on the USS Liberty. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/01/money-jews-brain-jews-politics.html>.) The Zionist movement has tended to recruit and reward Shabbesgoyim in families. New York Zionist campaign contributions have rewarded John McCain throughout his political career. Today McCain represents the Neoconservative Friedmanite position in Republican politics without — until recently — a lot of the social conservative baggage to which many American Jews and Friedmanites object.]

Zionist connections in the investment banking industry led to earlier IPOs in the 90s with little or no evidence of profitability. Subprime mortgages were a similar class of dubious investments, whose true nature was obscured in CDOs (collateralized debt obligations), and the valuation of Google and similar companies like Facebook has similar dubious characteristics. Some serious forensic analysis and financial analysis is needed to understand these economic developments, but the end result has been very clear in the development of a new class of superwealthy that is mostly Jewish and willing to put a lot of money into Israel advocacy and into influencing the US government.

While many members of this New Jewish Elite would reflexively put money into Israel because of long Zionist indoctrination, they also need Israel as a means to hide their wealth because Israel has a level of economic transparency and corruption comparable to Italy or Egypt. The Bar-Lev line in Tel Aviv is an early indicator of the degree of embezzlement and sweetheart deals in Israeli economics and politics. Israelis have long made a lot of money in providing money laundering and other transaction hiding services both to Jews and non-Jews. Obviously, all parties in this sort of business have an interest in preserving the State of Israel to make sure that this sort of business continues.

Post-9/11 Islamophobic scare-mongering as well as the Iraqi occupation has created a growth industry in hardware and software for security, control, and checkpoints. This industry

understands that its profitability depends on international policies that maintain conflict through unconditional support of Zionism.

### *Sophisticated Composite Marketing*

Awash with money, Israel Lobbying and Advocacy uses the most effective combination of targeted, multi-level, viral, guerrilla, and product drag marketing techniques.

### *The Functional Groups*

The American public constitutes a complex market than can be segmented in many ways, the most obvious division being Jewish versus non-Jewish. A functional organization specializing in memorializing (generally exaggerated) non-Jewish barbarism towards Jews may not be particularly useful in inspiring non-Jewish support for Israel except perhaps in guilt-based projects.

Israel Lobbying can count on a wide range of functional organizations including:

- JCCs/Jewish Federations
- RAC, UAHC (clerical organizations)
- JCRC/JCPA (local community relations organizations)
- AJCongress, AJCommittee, ADL (Jewish defense organizations) — the AJCongress historically was closer to the Jewish labor movement than the other two groups.
- Jewish Labor Organizations — probably not as important as in the past, but the UFT and AFT are still very Jewish while the NEA has large Jewish membership.
- Jewish PACs
- Civil Rights Groups
- local synagogues and temples
- MEF and similar groups or organizations
- USHMM (holocaust studies departments, genocide studies, Harvard Carr Center & Samantha Power)
- US Commission on Civil Rights (Abigail Thernstrom, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/11/civil-rights-for-some-americans.html>)
- Conference of Presidents of Major Jewish Organizations
- AIPAC, Israel Project, New Israel Project, overt and covert Zionist Think Tanks
- MEMRI
- ICC/international Hillel society
- American Friends of Peace Now
- David Project/StandWithUS/American Anti-Slavery Group (Outlets for Jewish student activism, in the case of the third — for outreach to African Americans)
- Interfaith discussion and activism groups
- Groups that do outreach to part Jews, e.g., Jewish Outreach Institute or InterfaithFamily.com
- Fake Christian Zionist groups (maybe Hagee) and some Zionist churches
- CAMERA (mobilizing the retirees)/HonestReporting/Aish HaTorah
- *Baalei Tshuvah* (Returnee to Orthodox or Hassidic Judaism) Organizations: Lubovitchers, Ohr Someach, Pardes, Netivot
- Fuel for Truth (markets to club scene, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/06/marketing-islamophobia-to-club-scene.html>)
- Israeli Folkdance Groups
- Genetics research groups ([http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2005/07/zionazi-racial-science\\_03.html](http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2005/07/zionazi-racial-science_03.html))

- Numerous Islamophobic organizations funded covertly by Jewish groups and individuals (e.g. the exceptionally secretive USAWAKEUP.org with website <http://usawakeup.org/> ) and
- numerous Jewish identity organizations endowed by people like the Bronfmans, Krafts, Adelsons, or Wexners.

### *The Basic Projects*

Ongoing and past projects associated with Israel Lobbying and Advocacy efforts:

- Self-indoctrination (nostalgia, Jewish guilt, positive ethnic consciousness, anti-Zionist Zionism as a means to enmesh religious Jews in pro-Israel behaviors – important in the Lubovitcher approach to the Israeli state)
- Anti-anti-Semitism (guilt) with the concomitant identification of anti-Zionism as anti-Semitism
- Russian Refuseniks, in which Daniel Pipes and Neocon colleagues learned how to manipulate the US government (see <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/02/real-origins-of-neocons.html>)
- Holocaust and genocide (non-Jewish guilt), of which
  - Anne Frank (Meyer Levin) is a subproject, which appears in the process of being replaced by
  - Danny Pearl (Anne Frank of the War on Terror with Judea Pearl as the high priest, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/09/daniel-pearl-foundation-honors-sandel.html>)

[This project is only partly Zionist, for it serves to prevent rational discussion of the Holoexaleipsis (the *Nakba*) and of Jewish involvement in Soviet mass murder, ethnic cleansing and genocide. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/04/holoexaleipsis-holocaust-holosphage-and.html>.) The establishment of the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum became a priority when American researchers began to obtain access to Soviet and Eastern European archival records.]

- Ongoing cooperation with official Israeli attempts to influence or to manipulate American local and national politics
- Indoctrinating Christians and part-Jews to support Israel
- Manipulating Europeans (bringing Merkel and Sarkozy to power and extending influence in the UK)
  - Keeping Germans feeling Guilty
  - Taking control of French or Euro-right
  - Marginalizing Muslim Europeans (Tariq Ramadan)
- Rewriting E. European and Jewish history (see <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/02/attacking-shohat-falsifying-jewish.html>)
- Controlling Jewish dissent and manipulating non-Jewish opinion via media updates (see <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/07/updating-ajc-attacks.html>)
- Zionist Genetics (in order to create a sort of Gramscian blocking on discussing Jewish domination in politics, academia and the media — Jewish superiority and "Israel Lobby" omnipotence cannot be challenged, [http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2005/07/zionazi-racial-science\\_03.html](http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2005/07/zionazi-racial-science_03.html))
- Manipulating African Americans — usually via civil rights organizing and creating Jewishly correct African American leaders like Gloria White-Hammond. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/12/african-americans-jews-and-islamophobia.html>.)

[Originally civil rights was a project of Jewish radicalism although Jabotinskians used support for civil rights as the means to distract from their racism against Palestinians. (See

*Militant Zionism in American: The Rise and Impact of the Jabotinsky Movement in the United States, 1926-1948* by Rafael Medoff.)

*Terror in the Night, The Klan's Campaign Against the Jews*, by Jack Nelson suggests that the organized Jewish community opportunistically used Jewish radicals to create conditions to scare up money from wealthy Jews and to manipulate the US government and law enforcement to do its bidding and eventually possibly to commit extra-judicial murder in response to a 1966 synagogue bombing. The skill set that the organized Jewish community developed in such efforts later proved extremely helpful in shutting down Arab and Muslim charities and think tanks.]

- Ethiopian "Jews"
- Sudan (a very pure example of Zionist multi-level and viral marketing)
  - Poisoning human rights discourse (AASG in south Sudan, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/10/poisoning-human-rights-discourse.html>)
  - JCPA/Ken Sweder: using boycotts, genocide, Darfur (<http://members.aol.com/ThorsProvoni/Darfur/FifthQuestion.htm>)
  - AJWS/Ruth Messinger: humanitarianism and social welfare as political tool, rewrites history (<http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/06/profitteering-from-humanitarianism.html>)
- Arabophobia & Islamophobia with the Islamofascism smear — the Islamofascist menace of the War on Terror is very important because justifying the alliance with Israel is much more difficult without the Cold War
- Bribing US government officials via trips to Israel and other favors — (<http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/05/bra-official-asked-help-from-mosque.html>)
- Creating non-Jewish front organizations and shells like CUFI and John Hagee, who seems to be funded and managed by Jewish groups — the similarity to star creation in the music industry is striking
- Glorifying Abraham Joshua Heschel, who did some minor work with Martin Luther King and who was also a Zionist propagandist and extremist racist according to his book *Israel, An Echo of Eternity* (<http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/01/interfaith-martin-luther-king-jr-day.html>)
- Keeping labor unions pro-Israel or at the very least making sure that they not only keep their pension funds invested in Israel Bonds but also continue to invest union money in them.

The Islamophobia & Arabophobia project is striking in its apparently conscious use of models from classic anti-Semitism of the late nineteenth and early twentieth century.

From <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/07/updated-ajc-attacks.html>:

- Robert Spencer, who is author of *Islam unveiled* as well as a frequent speaker at meetings and lectures to incite Islamophobia (see <http://tinyurl.com/2uqqo8>), and his ilk, who are generally well-funded by fanatic Zionist and Jewish Neocon organizations like the Center for the Study of Popular culture (recently renamed the David Horowitz Freedom Center), are scribbling these ridiculous books that are poor imitations of Eisenmenger's *Entdecktes Judentum* (*Judaism unmasked*) or Rohling's *Der Talmudjude* (*the Talmud Jew*).
- Too many (often Jewish) pseudo-scholars are babbling ignorantly about *taqiyya* (prudence), which is a permissible form of dissimulation in certain life threatening situations according to certain Shiite jurists. The concept has an exact counterpart in the thinking of the Rambam (Moses Maimonides or Musa bin Maimun, see his *letter on martyrdom/forced apostasy*, whose original Arabic uses the word *taqiyya* to describe exactly the same form of deception permitted to Jews subjected to certain forms of mortal danger).

- The concept of *taqiyya* also has a very close analogue in Roman Catholic ethics. The accusation that Muslims practice *taqiyya* to justify lying to non-Muslims is essentially equivalent to the common anti-Semitic slander that asserts that Jews never keep their contracts with non-Jews because the *Kol Nidrei* prayer, which is part of the ceremony of Yom Kippur (Day of Atonement), nullifies all such agreements.
- Articles like Alain Besançon's "What kind of religion is Islam?," Which appeared the May 2004 issue of *Commentary*, argue for a fundamental opposition between Islam and Judeo-Christianity. Such scholarly and non-scholarly literature represents a phenomenon essentially identical to the extensive 19th and 20th century literature that claims an unbridgeable gulf exists between Judaism and Christianity (*Judentum und Christentum* or sometimes *Judentum und Deutschtum*) in order to assert that Muslims today or Jews then are/were fundamentally alien to mainstream western culture and must be removed. (See <http://tinyurl.com/3yvh2m> for a much less sinister hypothesis of the relationships among Christianity, Judaism, and Islam.)

There are many more similarities between Islamophobic and anti-Semitic politics. Stab in the back accusations toward progressive Americans, Arab Americans, and Muslim Americans will not be far behind.

The International Hillel Society, the American Jewish Committee, AIPAC and JINSA (a think tank) frequently mail Islamophobic and Arabophobic literature to scare-monger against targeted Arab and Muslim individuals and groups. Because the mailing lists of Jewish organizations typically include important people in government, the news media and legal professions, continuous low-level defamation tends to create conditions for the effectively disenfranchisement of Arab and Muslim Americans in ways strongly reminiscent of the treatment that African Americans suffered post-Reconstruction until some civil rights activism began to produce results.

#### *A Web of Influence, Conditioned Thinking and Reflexive Behavior*

Ongoing Zionist indoctrination of the American public has produced a Zionist web of influence, conditioned thinking and reflexive behavior that is breath-taking in its pervasiveness.

Even without the presence of media gatekeepers and facilitators, journalists in print, broadcast and cable media almost invariably misrepresent relevant Middle Eastern or Eastern European current events or history. There is little reluctance to turn Zionist or IDF press releases into news reports with no qualifications.

American news organizations almost invariably misquote and mistranslate Iranian President Ahmedinejad, and American media use tendentious Zionist language like the "right to self-defense," "Muslim extremism," and "Arab intransigence" while almost never conceding a similar right of Palestinian or Arab self-defense or addressing issues of Jewish racism, extremism or fanaticism. During the recent Lebanon war, the American media with rare exceptions took the Israeli point of view.

Only recently have American media begun to concede the role of Russian Jews in the Russian Revolution and the murder of the Czar's family. While scholarly journals discuss the Jewish role in the Soviet security apparatus before the end of WW2, no inkling of the Soviet Jewish role in mass murder, ethnic cleansing and genocide is allowed to reach the general public. Such facts would interfere with the Zionist legitimization narrative which requires European Jews to be innocent powerless victims of the German Nazis, and reasonable people would draw the obvious analogy between Soviet Ashkenazi and Zionist Ashkenazi ethnic cleansing or genocidalism.

Only occasionally does accurate depiction of Zionist ethnic cleansing in 1947-8 ever reach the American public, and descriptions of the start of the 1967 war — even on NPR — still include descriptions of the movement ("barreling") of Egyptian tanks through Sinai to attack Israel.

Roman Catholics according to statistical analysis are less sympathetic. It is intriguing that practically every time the Boston *Globe* runs a story that features a Catholic cleric expressing a criticism of Israel, within approximately a week, it also runs a story about a Catholic cleric sex scandal sometimes featuring events from as much as 25 years ago as if to provide some crude sort of "balance" that even CAMERA and HonestReporting do not advocate. It is an often-unconscious behavior more often associated with an angry ethnic press, and it may be significant

- that the Boston *Globe* Editorial Board includes Lawrence Harmon, who was editor at the Boston *Jewish Advocate*, and
- that paid entry level newspaper jobs in the USA nowadays seem to be found mostly at the ethnic Jewish press.

The Boston *Globe* does not seem particularly different from other important newspapers.

Other content in the US shows similar tendencies. One expects the film or novel *Exodus* to demonize Palestinians, but movies like *Bright Lights*, *Big City*, *Time After Time*, and *Oh God, You Devil!* do as well. (See [http://members.aol.com/thorsprovoni/history/naapplecture.htm#\\_ftnref54](http://members.aol.com/thorsprovoni/history/naapplecture.htm#_ftnref54).)

Vincent Brook discusses Jews on TV in *Something Ain't Kosher Here*, *The Rise of the "Jewish" Sitcom*. He correctly identifies some aspects of trends in Jewish representations, but he misses the real story in which early Jewish trends conformed to the project of assimilating Jews to American society and making non-Jews comfortable with Jewish neighbors. Gradually, the TV sitcoms switch first to the project of assimilating non-Jewish society to various Jewish (really ethnic Ashkenazi) social-cultural norms like female dominance in relationships, female breadwinners, early sexual initiation, sexual ambiguity and serial polygamy and then to the project of creating non-Jewish comfort with the blending of Jews into the dominant elite. Because the Hollywood Crowd are subjected to the same ongoing Israel advocacy activities as other Americans, the writers for the most part incorporate the State of Israel into everyday American culture and American civic religion as part of the scripts and thereby reinforce ongoing Israel advocacy projects.

American Jews reinforce the lessons of Israel education for themselves with a whole class of Israel or Jewish oriented novels (written by authors like Naomi Ragen, Herman Wouk, Leon Uris, Meyer Levin, and Elspeth Baker), comic books, or other literature, where one expects to find Zionist or Jewish prejudices, but another possibly larger class of writing responding to Zionist indoctrination contains all sorts of subliminal Israel advocacy, slinging of Palestinians, Arabophobia or Islamophobia.

Paul Anderson provides a fairly typical and subtle example of subliminal Zionist propaganda in *The High Crusade*, which is a science fiction novel that reinforces the inevitability of an Israeli Imperium on Earth. Here is a typical example from Keith Laumer's 1964 science fiction novel, entitled *A Plague of Demons* (pp 127-128 in the 1965 Pocket Books version, approximately p. 76 in the online RFT version). (See [http://www.webscription.net/10.1125/Baen/0743435885/A\\_Plague\\_of\\_Demons.rtf](http://www.webscription.net/10.1125/Baen/0743435885/A_Plague_of_Demons.rtf).) The book connects the State of Israel with the American icon Benjamin Franklin.

"What's the Ultimax Group?"

"An elite inner circle organization, international in scope, privately financed, comprising one hundred and fourteen individuals selected on the basis of superior intellectual endowment, advanced specialist training, emotional stability, and other factors."

"For what purpose?"

"To monitor trends in the Basic Survival Factors, and to take such steps as may be required to maintain a favorable societal survival ratio."

"I never heard of them. How long have they been operating?"

"Two hundred and seventy-one years."

"My God! Who started it?"

"The original Committee included Benjamin Franklin, George Loffitt, Danilo Moncredi, and Cyril St. Claire."

...

"That's that," I said. "Tell me more about this Ultimax Group. What's it been doing these past couple of hundred years?"

"It contributed materially to the success of the American War of Independence, the defeat of the Napoleonic Empire, the consolidation of the Italian and German nations, the emergence of Nippon, the defeat of the Central Powers in the First Engagement of the European War, and of the Axis Powers in the Second Engagement, and the establishment of the State of Israel. It supported the space effort . . ."

I was beginning to feel a little ragged now; the first fine glow was fading. I listened to the voice for another half-hour, while it told me all about the little-known facts of history; then I dismissed it and took another nap.

The comic book *Stormwatch: Team Achilles* like many others emphasized the categorical and moral imperative to do anything and everything to wage the War on Terror according to Israeli or Neoconservative principles.

At this point the Zionist equation of Arabs or Muslims with terrorists is so embedded in popular culture that people with no connection to the American Jewish community see terrorist financing in Arab or Muslim investments in American companies and denounce innocuous companies like Ptech, Dubai Portsworld or Arab financed investment fund management companies.

Pro-Israel vulture capitalists in media benefit from a similar phenomenon. As soon as potential advertisers learn that a pro-Israel investor has acquired a newspaper, their marketers discover a need to place adds to generate good will or for some other purpose. Eventually the newspaper enters the black. The vulture capitalist cashes in. New investors, who are perhaps not so pro-Israel, may lose revenue especially if editorial or Middle East coverage changes. The newspaper enters the red, and the vulture cycle can continue a few more times until Murdoch or some similar investor like CanWest incorporates it into an almost certainly pro-Israel media empire.

#### *Ultimate Results*

The changes that Israel lobbying and advocacy projects have introduced into American society are devastating as Zionists have attempted to control American discourse and have warped economic relations because the USA and Arab or Muslim countries.

Israel advocates try to control what books are available for Americans to read and have run campaigns against *The Scar of David* by Susan Abulhawa, *A Little Piece of Ground* by Elizabeth Laird, *Dreaming of Palestine* by Randa Ghazi, and *Overcoming Zionism* by Joel Kovel. (See [http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/05/lobby-activities-its-just-frggin-novel\\_28.html](http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/05/lobby-activities-its-just-frggin-novel_28.html),

<http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/10/zionist-attack-on-pluto-press.html>,  
<http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/08/making-sure-americans-hear-only-one.html>.)

US political debate is being reduced either to arguments whether Muslim Americans show loyalty by taking an oath of office on the Quran as happened in the case of Ken Ellison or to tests of loyalty Israel as happened in the cases of Findley, McKinney and Percy.

Through the Israel on Campus Coalition, the Israeli Consulates and Embassy are orchestrating censorship campaigns throughout American universities often with the aid of freelancers like former Harvard President Lawrence Summers. (<http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/09/boycotts-and-principles-of-academia.html>.)

The same groups and individuals are trying to enforce indoctrination of American students with Zionist mythology from kindergarten through university level by means of Holocaust Education programs generally developed in Israel.

The hyper-wealthy Zionist elite is trying to create Israel Studies programs in which only Zionistically correct scholars will teach while stealth and overt Israel advocacy groups and individuals attempt to drive any scholars critical of Zionism from American education. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/09/jacob-lassner-and-nadia-abu-el-haj.html>.)

Nadia Abu el Haj, Finkelstein, Arun Gandhi, Massad, Dabashi, Saliba, Debby Almontaser (Khalil Gibran International Academy, New York City) and many others have been subjected to such attacks. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/11/zionism-penisism-and-joseph-massad.html>, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/02/arun-gandhi-and-sholem-aleichem.html>, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/12/conspiracy-against-rights-in-nyc.html>.)

The attempt to prevent Arab and Muslim Americans from working at universities and other educational institutions looks like a form of conspiracy against rights. As Arabs and Muslims become a larger part of the American population, Israel Lobbying and Advocacy groups and individuals like the David Project or Joe Kaufman (Chairman of Americans Against Hate, the founder of CAIR Watch, and the spokesman for Terror-Free Oil Initiative) are so desperate to prevent any rational discussion of the conflict over Palestine that they have engaged in similar conspiracies against rights both

- to prevent American Muslims from freely assembling to practice their religion and also
- to stop Arab and Muslim Americans from giving charity to Palestinians.

The standard *modus operandi* of conspirators has involved scare mongering and defamation, which has long been a specialty of Abraham Foxman and the ADL as well as of David Harris and the American Jewish Committee. These organizations and more specialized Israel Lobbying organizations like the Genocide Information Network are afraid of losing control of genocide discourse for fear that Americans might realize as a result of efforts like the Somerville Divestment Project that the ethnic cleansing of Palestine, which is the *Nakba* or the Holoexaleipsis (the Great Erasure), is a unique and perhaps the archetypal genocide. If such a realization became common among Americans, it would undermine US support for Israel. Campaigns like the ADL's "No Place for Hate" and attempts to thwart recognition of the Armenian Genocide make rational discussion of genocide in America practically impossible. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/10/more-jewish-genocide-denial.html>.)

Altogether Israel Lobbying efforts are grinding down American Constitutional rights and Israelizing society to the point where the American political system is under attack by a wide-ranging seditious conspiracy, and the side-effect of Islamophobic and Arabophobic incitement against Arab or Muslim investments or university contributions or charitable donations, is discouraging the flow of capital from Saudia and the Gulf when the US economy most desperately needs such liquidity.

Even if American gets over the current economic crisis, the alliance with Israel at this point has probably cost every current US taxpayer at least \$10,000.00, and the costs at this point are rising at least geometrically thanks to Neocon-orchestrated policies.

### *Fighting Back to Save America*

Pointing out the cost of Israel to each and every American is a good starting point to counteract Israel lobbying and advocacy.

The Zionism of Christian evangelical fundamentalists is part of generational program of Jabotinskians but is not particularly deep. Obviously, Rapturists do not care much about the cost of Israel to America, but Christian Zionism can be fought by scriptural disputation, by questioning the patriotism of its leaders and by exposing surreptitious Jewish funding and organizing. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2007/04/linguistics-islam-and-beatitudes.html>, <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/01/islamic-marcionism-in-malaysia.html>.)

In other areas Israel advocates have had so much success because in today's America Jews play by a set of rules completely different from all other Americans, and even not particularly Zionist Jews have no real incentive to challenge the system, when renders them

- Moral Arbiters,
- Major players in a dramatic narrative of national rebirth,
- Beneficiaries of programs like *Taglit* (Birthright Israel), Jewish networks in academia, the professions and politics,
- Certain of their own righteousness, and
- Wielders of the all-powerful accusation of anti-Semitism. (See <http://eaazi.blogspot.com/2008/02/long-history-of-hindu-judeophobia.html>.)

At the college level, the International Hillel Society acts like a junior auxiliary to the KKK by running racist programs like Taglit/Birthright Israel and by hosting extremist speakers that incite murder and genocide against Palestinians, Arabs and Muslims or deny that they are human.

Jewish organizations, no matter how much they campaign against laws and candidates, get a completely pass of the rules and regulations of 501(c)(3) organizations. The tax fraud probably reaches tens of billions of dollars at this point.

Not just Jewish organizations are enmeshed in the tax fraud; the Harvard Kennedy School of Government Wexner Israel Fellowship Program (not the Wexner Israel Heritage Fellowship program) violates the principles of *Bob Jones vs. USA*. (See <http://www.acluprocon.org/SupCtCases/398Bob.htm>, , <http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/cgi-bin/getcase.pl?court=US&vol=461&invol=574>.)

([The Wexner Foundation > Wexner Israel Fellowship > General Information and Awards](http://www.wexnerfoundation.org/Default.aspx?tabid=84), <http://www.wexnerfoundation.org/Default.aspx?tabid=84>)

Established in 1989, The Wexner Israel Fellowship Program represents a unique and important partnership between The Wexner Foundation and Harvard University's [John F. Kennedy School of Government](#). Each year, up to ten outstanding Israeli public officials are funded to pursue a Master Degree in Public Administration at the Kennedy School and to participate in a set of leadership institutes sponsored by the Foundation itself. The goal of the program is to provide Israel's next generation of public leaders with advanced training in public management and leadership development, thus enhancing the quality of democracy and the institutional vitality of Israel's public sector. Wexner Israel fellows find themselves at the hub of both the university-wide program in public policy and the Kennedy School's faculty, curriculum, students, and research centers. Consequently, they encounter a rich environment conducive to reflection and dialogue about Israel's policy challenges and the diverse leadership strategies that could address those challenges. Wexner Israel Fellows are awarded a Mid-Career Master in Public Administration (MC/MPA) upon successful completion of the academic year.

As is frequently pointed out in the Hebrew press, the Israeli public sector is highly discriminatory against non-Jews. This fellowship lets the racism of Israeli society exclude non-Jews (in 19 years only two non-Jews have participated even though depending on how one counts somewhere between 20-30% of Israeli citizens are non-Jews. Fellowship meetings are held at the Harvard Hillel. Fellows take part in programs at synagogues. It does not provide a particularly friendly environment to Israeli Palestinians with any sort of self-esteem, and one must wonder whether exclusion of residents of the Occupied Territories is really legitimate after 60 years of racist Jewish domination.

Obviously, Harvard is sponsoring a racist Jewish fellowship. The fellowship documents at the KSG websites indicate that fellows will establish personal relationships with current or future US government officials so that 10 to 15 years in the future, the decisions of US bureaucrats and leaders will be tainted by personal issues (Wexner's unstated goal).

This program should put Harvard University's status as a tax-deductible 501(c)(3) institution in jeopardy. Why should Harvard be allowed to engage in racist practices that forced Bob Jones University to lose its 501(c)(3) status? The program has run for 19 years, and one must wonder how many American universities are similarly sponsoring Jewish racism.<sup>6</sup>

The Jack Abramoff case indicates that many SEC, FEC, and terrorism related violations are very much Israel-related; yet, according to newspaper reports no investigators are looking into aspect of ongoing criminality. It looks like US government officials are engaged in a conspiracy to obstruct justice or some other similar violation. There are major equal protection issues in the ongoing government persecution of Muslim charities without comparable investigation of Jewish charities that are supporting Zionist terrorism, ethnic cleansing, crimes against humanity and war crimes.

The US government also appears to have no interest in prosecuting violations against rights when the victims are Muslim communities that want to build Mosques or are Arab and Muslim American university professors or Arab school principals.

The Functions Manual: Criminal Division of the DOJ (<http://www.usdoj.gov/jmd/mps/manual/crm.htm>) says the following on the Office of Special Investigations.

Special investigations - since its creation in 1979, the Office of Special Investigations has been responsible for detecting, investigating, and taking legal action to denaturalize and/or deport persons who took part in Nazi-sponsored acts of persecution committed abroad during the period 1933-45 and, **since December 2004, also for detecting, investigating, and taking legal action to denaturalize persons who participated abroad in acts of genocide or in acts of torture or extrajudicial killings committed under color of foreign law.**

As far as I know the OSI has investigated neither for Jews involved in Soviet torture, extrajudicial killings, mass murder, ethnic cleansing, or genocide nor for Jews involved in revenge killings or

---

<sup>6</sup> In the case of the Harvard Wexner Israel Fellowship, Wexner is the culprit that set up Harvard and its donors to commit tax fraud. He should be culpable for tax code infractions and conspiracy. His Wexner Heritage Foundation has been instrumental in providing fellowships at American universities to Israelis that have routinely engaged in war crimes and terrorism against Palestinians. The Foundation should be shut down and its assets seized for providing material assistance to terrorism. As supporting Zionist terrorism was the purpose of the Foundation, all of Wexner's assets should also be seized and Wexner should be arrested and sent to Guantanamo or a similar facility where he can be interrogated according to Dershowitzian principles to discover the extent of his conspiracy against the American legal and Constitutional system.

torture after the defeat of Nazi Germany nor for Jews involved in pre-state Zionist or Israeli torture, mass murder, ethnic cleansing, genocide, or extra-judicial killings.<sup>7</sup> Yet both Polish and also Lithuanian prosecutors have indicted or investigated Israeli Jews for such crimes. (See <http://tinyurl.com/25zwfp> for discussion of a Lithuanian case.)

Is there some sort of equal protection violation in the possibly selective prosecution of someone like Zajanckauskas

To US, Vladas Zajanckauskas of Sutton is a war criminal; to his family, he is a good man ([http://www.boston.com/news/local/massachusetts/articles/2007/09/29/two\\_faces\\_of\\_a\\_wwii\\_case/](http://www.boston.com/news/local/massachusetts/articles/2007/09/29/two_faces_of_a_wwii_case/))

Source: Boston Globe | Date: Sep 29, 2007 | By: Linda Matchan

...would make him the oldest person ever deported as a result of an investigation by the Justice Department's **Office of Special Investigations**. To OSI officials, this is a story of justice served, a textbook case of a Nazi collaborator who managed...

or Henss?

Federal officials say 85-year-old Lawrenceville man was WWII Nazi concentration camp guard

---

<sup>7</sup> Here is article 2 from the international Convention on Genocide (<http://www.hrweb.org/legal/genocide.html>)

### **Article 2**

In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

- (a) Killing members of the group;
- (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
- (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
- (d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
- (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.

Items a, b, and c have applied to Palestine since racist Eastern European Ashkenazim began to invade Palestine for the purpose of stealing it from the native population at the end of the 19th century. Genocidal Zionist intent against the native population of Palestine can be traced back as far as 1882 as the following citation from Professor Joel Kovel on page 45 of *Overcoming Zionism* demonstrates.

Thus Vladimir Dubnow, in 1882: "The ultimate goal is, in time, to take over the Land of Israel and to restore to the Jews the political independence they have been deprived of for these two thousand years ... The Jews will yet arise and, arms in hand (if need be), declare that they are the masters of their ancient homeland."

[http://www.ajc.com/metro/content/metro/gwinnett/stories/2007/10/01/nazi\\_1002\\_web.html?cxntnid=bn\\_2007-10-01\\_12\\_33\\_id306\\_e](http://www.ajc.com/metro/content/metro/gwinnett/stories/2007/10/01/nazi_1002_web.html?cxntnid=bn_2007-10-01_12_33_id306_e)

According to Justice Department and Homeland Security officials, Paul Henss, an 85-year-old German citizen living in Gwinnett County, guarded prisoners "at the notorious Dachau and Buchenwald Concentration Camps in Nazi Germany."

According to Wikipedia, Congressman Rahm Emanuel's father, the Jerusalem-born pediatrician Benjamin M. Emanuel, was a member of the *Irgun*, a Zionist paramilitary terrorist organization that committed mass murder, ethnic cleansing, genocide, terrorism, and extra-judicial killings. So far OSI has undertaken neither investigation nor legal action against either Benjamin Emanuel or the multitudes of similar Zionist or Soviet Ashkenazi immigrants with similar criminal backgrounds.

Does the OSI only prosecute non-Jews? Can non-Jewish Eastern Europeans or Palestinians receive any justice from the US DOJ?

Neutralizing Israel lobbying and advocacy groups will be extremely difficult without a push for the US government to enforce laws equally for Jews and non-Jews. Then once a good part of the American public realizes that Israel is a terror state, the USA will be forced to apply anti-terror laws apply and seize assets of organized Jewish community along with those of Zionist billionaires as terrorism supporters just as Islamic charities have been stripped of their funds.

Until the US government begins to enforce laws equitably, activists will have to research real estate transactions<sup>8</sup> and investigate who is paying for Israel advocacy programs.

They will have to put together a who's who of Zionist subversion in the USA.

To change the consciousness of Americans, activists must fight American Holocaust religion and work for recognition

- that the mass murders of Jews during WW2 were not exceptional or unique,
- that Palestinians, Armenians, Balkan Muslims, and Circassians have all been genocided from the nineteenth through the twentieth century and
- that Soviet Jews were up to the eyeballs in mass murder, ethnic cleansing and genocide long before German Nazis, Eastern Europeans, and liberated Soviet nationalities began to kill Jews systematically out of fear and hatred resulting from the Jewish role in the Soviet Union.

Activists will have to challenge American Jews socially at interfaith activities, community events and elsewhere. They must demand clarification of Jewish loyalties and force Jewish leaders to acknowledge

- that Americans are not obligated to support Israel and
- that hating Jews for what they do (unlike hating Jews for being Jews) is not anti-Semitism.

Activists need to make effort to counter Israel advocacy and Holocaust studies at the high school and even grammar school levels. They need to work for coordination among anti-Zionist Arab American, Muslim American, genuinely anti-Israel Jewish American, conservative, liberal, and other religious (especially Catholic) groups.

---

<sup>8</sup> Real estate dealing seems to play a role in David Project and StandWithUs activities as well as in the Summers debacle at Harvard. Israeli real estate development has provided a vehicle to siphon US aid to Israel directly into the pockets of transnational Zionist elites.

Eventually, activists must work to create Palestinian-sympathetic or Palestinian POV Hollywood-style cinema for American audiences. (See <http://members.aol.com/ThorsProvoni/NewTwoWeddings.pdf>, <http://members.aol.com/ThorsProvoni/twoweeks.pdf> for proof of concept.)

Yet, as cold as it is to state, the real issue is not Palestine.

Unless they are neutralized, Israel lobbying groups, Israel advocates, Zionists, Neoconservatives, and Friedmanites will steal America and effectively abolish the Constitution in all but name to create a society of servitude for all Americans except for those belonging to the hyper-wealthy transnational Zionist political elite.